Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—Rs. 8,662.

ಶ್ರೀ ಅಂದಾನಯ್ಯ.—ಬಾವಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜ್ಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈಗ ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂತೂ 9-10 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡರಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಭಾಗದ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಪಿ.ಡಬ್ಲು.ಡಿ. ಮೂಲಕ ನಡೆಸರಾಗಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜ್ಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ಮತ್ತು ಪಿ.ಡಬ್ಲು.ಡಿ. ಎರಡು ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳವರು ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಸ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಕಾಂತಪ್ಪ.—ಇನ್ನೂ 200 ಅಡಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಹಾಗೆ ಉಳಿದಿದೆ. ಅದರೂ ಈಗ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ನಿರ್ವೀಸಿಗೆ ವಿನಿಮಯ ಧಾರ್ಜನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಬೇಕೆಂದು ಎರೆಕ್ಟ್ರಿಸಿಟಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜ್ಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ನೀರಾವರಿ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳಿಗೆ ಪಂಪುಸೆಟ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಸಹಾಯಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದೆಯೇ ? ಆ ರೀತಿ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳಿವೆಯೇ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದು ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. C. BASAPPA.—Are there any organisations of this type which have sought help of Government in this matter ?

Sri KONDAJJI BASAPPA.—I have no information, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Deputy Minister can supply the information later.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಸ್. ನೂರ್ಯನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್.—ಪಂಪುಸೆಟ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನು ನಪ್ಲೈ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಯಾವಾಗ ಮತ್ತು ಇದರ ಲೈಟಿಂಗ್ ಚಾರ್ಜುಗಳನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಎರೆಕ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ ಚಾರ್ಜುಗಳನ್ನು ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳೇ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗೆ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜ್ಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಎರೆಕ್ಟ್ರಿಕಲ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ಈ ಸಾವಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ರಾಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಸೊಸೈಟಿಗಳವರು ಟೆಕ್ನಿಕಲ್ ಅಫೀಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡಜ್ಜಿ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ.

Expenditure incurred by Government for conducting S. S. L. C. Examination held for the Second Time during 1962-63.

* *Q.*—893. Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) the expenditure incurred by the Government for the S. S. L. C. Examination held for the Second Time last year;

(*b*) the cost of Stationery purchased for the said examination;

(*c*) how the Stationery was distributed;

(*d*) the T. A. and D. A. claimed by the Officers to receive stationery for the Examination ?

A.—Smt. GRACE TUCKER (Deputy Minister for Education).—

(*a*) Approximately Rs. 11,55,000.

(*b*) Rs.23,268-00.

(*c*) The Stationery articles were distributed to the Examination Centres by asking the Chief Superintendents to go over to Bangalore or to depute some responsible persons to receive the stationery from the Government Press (Stationery Depot), Bangalore.

(*d*) Approximately Rs. 10,310.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, for the distribution of Rs. 23,268 worth stationery, they have spent Rs. 10,310 for T. A. and D. A. for officers. May I know the policy or the principle followed in distributing the Stationery, Sir ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—So far as the distrbution of stationery is concerned, it is the extra stationery that we distributed for the second time. The stationery that had been held over from the first time was left in the various centres. The second time this amount of stationery was sent. For the second time the amount inclusively spent for the first time was Rs. 10,310.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What was the difficulty experienced by the Government Press in sending the stationery by registered post to the various examination centres, Sir ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause (*d*) of the question does not differentiate between the first and the second examination. What I understand from the Deputy Minister is that it relates to both.

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—Answer (*b*) is the extra stationery that we sent. I am afraid, (*b*) and (*d*) have got themselves involved with the first and second examinations. It cannot be separated. That is the extra stationery sent and this Rs. 10,310 would be also for the first and the second together.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—May I know the expenditure incurred for the first examination ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—With regard to the first and second examinations the amount spent is Rs. 13,07,618-72 nP. For the second time Rs. 11,55,000 was spent. So, the infructuous expenditure is Rs. 1,52,618.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Have the Government fixed the responsibility against anybody for necessitating holding the examinations for the second time ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—It is in the stage of investigation. The investigation report, I believe, has come to Government and Government is examining it.

Sri D. M. SIDDAIAH.—May I know how many officers have drawn this T. A. and D. A. ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—The exact number of officers I do not know. But, just now I hear that from Gulberga Division alone there were six people who came in order to collect the various stationery and the second time it was not only stationery but also the question papers,

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—May I know the amount spent for the examiners out of this Rs. 11,55,000 ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—Rs. Rs. 5,21,955.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—How much the Government would have saved if these stationery materials had been sent by registered post instead of persons coming and carrying it?

Mr. SPEAKER.—But the time factor was there.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—What prompted the Government to send this stationery material by muddam through persons instead of registered post ? Was there any secracy involved in the stationery material ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—Yes Sir, the material would have to reach the centres safe because in some cases, I understand, some of the answer books used to get lost and completed answer books would be inserted at the time of examination.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The cost of stationery purchased s 23,268. May I know whether it is included in the 11,55,000 ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—Yes, it is included in 11,55,000.

Sri A. KRISHNA SETTY.—May I know the steps Government has taken to see that such reexaminations do not take place hereafter ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—We had the experience of conductig the second examination and the same steps we would take now so that no leakage is there.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Will the Government assure the House that the House that the 11 lakhs and odd spent now or atleast a portion of it, would be recovered from the persons responsible for this ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—The infructuous expenditure was only Rs. 1,52,618. The total expenditure is Rs. 13 lakhs and odd. The second time the examination was conducted, the expenditure was Rs. 11 lakhs and odd. The infructuous expenditure, that is that which was a waste, is Rs. 1 lakh 52 thousand.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—The expenditure incurred for the second examination has to be treated as infructuous expenditure.

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—It is not so. Because the examination was conducted for 4 or 5 days, it is only the stationery that is wasted. But the examinership remuneration is not wasted because the examiners got only the papers of the second examination. The infructuous expenditure is only Rs. 1,52,618.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Thirteen lakhs of rupees is the expenditure incurred on the first occasion. Am I correct ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—No, Sir, it includes both first and second examinations. I am sorry I did not make myself clear. The amount spent for the second time is 11 lakhs 55 thousand. The difference between the two is the infructuous expenditure.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If Rs. 13 lakhs of rupees includes the expenses incurred for both examinations, then it cannot be the expenditure incurred for the first examination.

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—I said Rs. 13 lakhs includes the first and second examinations together. The stationery of the first examination, the setting of question papers, the transmission of papers, etc, are all included. The cost of the same items of expenditure and examinership for the first and second examinations comes to Rs. 13 lakhs and odd.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—If the second examination had not been held, what would have been the expenditure incurred on the first examination only?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—About Rs. 11 to 12 lakhs.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—What is the total expenditure incurred exclusively for the second examination?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Both are integral parts. If the examiners of the first examination had corrected the papers and if they have been paid for that work it would have been much more. But the examination was cancelled and the examiners were not called upon to evaluate the answer papers. Therefore both are inter-related.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The number of examiners were increased just to expedite the papers being corrected. The expenditure incurred for the second examination is Rs. 55 thousand but the whole thing is rather complicated. I am not in a position to know the money spent for the first examination and for the second examination separately. The Chief Minister promised the House that the number of examiners will be increased to facilitate faster correction of papers. I want to know what exactly is the situation in regard to expenditure.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Would the Deputy Minister say what were the number of examiners appointed on the first occasion and what was the increase on the second occasion? The increase can be attributable only to to the re-examination.

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—For the re-examination we had 90 Chief Examiners. It depends on the number of papers. We found that we could correct the papers within time. We had practically the same numbers for the second time also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is a slight fallacy in what Sri Muckannappa said. I do not know whether the remuneration will be *per capita* or per paper. If it is per paper, the number of papers is the same. The number of examiners may vary.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to know how many examiners were appointed. I know the number myself.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member knows it he need not ask.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Deputy Minister said that Rs. 23 thousand was spent on stationery. May I know the T.A. and D.A. incurred for sending these papers to the Centres?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—That is included in the figures just quoted by the Hon'ble Member.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—She says that Rs. 10 thousand is included in Rs. 13 lakhs. This is additional stationery purchased. What is the money spent to send the papers to the examination centres. Is it included in Rs. 13 lakhs but not included in Rs. 11 lakhs 55 thousand?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—It is partly included.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to know what is the T.A. and D.A. spent on officers who carried the additional stationery purchased for the second examination ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—Both these are included. I do not have the break-up at present.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—For the second examination the approximate cost of T.A. and D.A. is Rs. 10,310. The usual practice of correction was not followed and the examiners were made to come over to Bangalore and T.A. and D.A. paid for them for the period they stayed here. If so, what is the amount thus spent as T.A. and D.A.

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—The Chief Superintendents carried back the papers.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Is it not a fact that the answer books were corrected at Bangalore.

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—That may be so. But that has nothing to do with the transmission of papers.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—What exactly is the amount spent on these examiners who were brought to Bangalore ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—I will give the figures later. Remuneration to examiners is Rs. 5,21,955.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—What was the expenditure in the previous years for S. S. L. C. What is the difference between the expenditure this year and the last year ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—If a separate question is asked, I will give the answer.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The Hon'ble Deputy Minister was pleased to say that an enquiry is going on. May I know who are the Members of the Committee ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—The investigation was with the Police. They have sent their report and it is before Government. There has not been an Enquiry Committee set up till now.

1-00 P.M.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question Nos. 1013 and No. 1014 may be taken up together and both are for supplementaries.

Disturbance that took place at Nidoni village in Bijapur District.

*Q.—1013. Sri K. H. RANGANATH (Mudigere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they were not aware of the likelihood of disturbance that took place at Nidoni Village in Bijapur Talu recently;